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In the third line after Eq. (2.17), det $\overline{\mathbf{A}}(w)>0$ should be replaced by $\operatorname{det} \mathbf{A}>0$.
In Eq. (7.1), $\left\langle\Phi^{2}\right\rangle$ should be replaced by $\left\langle\Phi^{2}\right\rangle^{2}$.
An error occurred in the numerical evaluation of Eq. (6.22) for $\tilde{x} \neq 0$. The corrected evaluation implies small changes of the curves in Figs. 6, 10, 15, and 17 which should be replaced by the following figures: Figs. 1-4, respectively.

Correspondingly, Eq. (8.8) should be replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}^{e x}\left(\widetilde{x}_{\min } ; 1\right)_{d=3}=-0.690, \quad \tilde{x}_{\min }=-0.884 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the sentence within the square brackets in the paragraph before Eq. (10.12), the specification of the maximum should read $\mathcal{F}^{e x}\left(\widetilde{x}_{\text {max }} ; 1\right)=-0.431$ at $\tilde{x}_{\text {max }}=-5.47$.

The first sentence after Eq. (4.28) does not give a correct estimate of the order of the terms in Eqs. (4.26)-(4.28). A correct estimate of these terms can only be given on the basis of Eqs. (4.37)-(4.39).


FIG. 1. Corrected version of Fig. 6.


FIG. 3. Corrected version of Fig. 15.


FIG. 2. Corrected version of Fig. 10.


FIG. 4. Corrected version of Fig. 17.

